

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Teuvo Olavi Venalainen 991.1145 5980 11/20/2000 09/701,122 01/13/2003 21831 7590 STEINBERG & RASKIN, P.C. **EXAMINER** 1140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15th FLOOR REIS, TRAVIS M NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2859

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NP	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/701,122	VENALAINEN, TEUVO OLAV
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Travis M Reis	2859

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1 113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 December 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) 🗵 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-18.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
gen'	

Diego Gutierrez

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations added to claims 1 & 11, i.e. "wherein said first arm part is connected to sadid articulation by a connection assembly including a plurality of spaced holes each of the holes corresponding to a selected angular position and ball means for receipt within a selected one of said plurality of holes for locking said first arm in a selected angular position so that said first arm part can be moved to a plurality of selected angular positions and locked in a desired selected angular position, and wherein said second arm part is connected to said first arm part by a connection assembly including a plurality of spaced holes each of the holes corresponding to a selected rotary position and ball means for receipt within said a selected one of said plurality of holes for locking said second arm part in a selected rotary position so that said second arm can be rotated with respect to said first arm and locked in a desired selected rotary position" raise new issues since these new limitations were not present in the finally rejected claims.